Human Action Recognition Using Tensor Dynamical System Modeling

Chan-Su Lee

Yeungnam University, Rep. of Korea



Contents

- Introduction
- Tensor Dynamical Models
- Statistical Analysis on Grassmann Manifold for Tensor Dynamical Models
- Experimental Result
- Conclusion



Introduction

- Dynamics of human motion can be represented by spatiotemporal tensor time series
 - Motion capture data: 3D sequence in each joint(marker) time sequence
 - \rightarrow 3D vector x joint/marker # x t
 - Activity video: 2D image(x,y) x RGB x t
 - \rightarrow 2D vector x 3 (RGB) x t
- Useful to preserver original tensor structure of the data to analyze human motion
- Provide model to predict/generate future motion



Tensor Normal Distribution

• Normal distribution

$$f_x(x) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$
 x: scalar

• Extension to vector: Multivariate normal distribution x: vector

$$f_{x}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}p} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}tr\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{u})'\}}$$

 Extension to matrix: Bilinear normal distribution

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(X) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}pn} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} |\Psi|^{-p/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}tr\{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{u})\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{u})'\}}$$



Tensor Normal Distribution

• Bilinear normal distribution using unit vector

$$\sum_{ij} X_{ij} e_i^1(e_j^2)'$$

$$= \sum_{ij} \mu_{ij} e_i^1(e_j^2)' + \sum_{ij} \sum_{km} \tau_{ik} \gamma_{mj} U_{km} e_i^1(e_j^2)'$$

$$= \sum_{ij} \mu_{ij} e_j^2 \otimes e_i^1 + \sum_{ij} \sum_{km} \tau_{ik} \gamma_{mj} U_{km} e_j^2 \otimes e_i^1$$

Unit basis: $e_i^1 : p \times 1, e_j^2 : n \times 1$ $U_{km} \sim N(0, 1)$ $e_i^1(e_j^2)' \rightarrow e_j^2 \otimes e_i^1$



Tensor Normal Distribution

• Tensor normal distribution





Tensor Dynamical Models

• Linear dynamical system

$$oldsymbol{x}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x}_n + oldsymbol{w}$$

 $oldsymbol{y}_n = oldsymbol{C} oldsymbol{x}_n + oldsymbol{v}$

$$oldsymbol{x}_n | oldsymbol{x}_{n-1} ~\sim~ N_k(oldsymbol{x}_n | Aoldsymbol{x}_{n-1}, oldsymbol{Q}) \ oldsymbol{y}_n | oldsymbol{x}_n ~\sim~ N_p(oldsymbol{y}_n | Coldsymbol{x}_n, oldsymbol{R})$$



Tensor Dynamical Models

Tensor extension of linear dynamic models

$$oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{1,\cdots,N} = [oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_1,\cdots,oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_N] \quad oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 imes \cdots imes I_M} \ oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{1,\cdots,N} = [oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1,\cdots,oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_N] \quad oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{J_1 imes \cdots imes J_M}$$

 $oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{n+1} | oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_n \sim \mathscr{N}(oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \circledast oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_n, oldsymbol{Q})$ $oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_n | oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_n \sim \mathscr{N}(oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \circledast oldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_n, oldsymbol{\mathcal{R}})$



Tensor Dynamical Models

- Parameter estimation
 - Estimating parameters: $\theta = (\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}) \qquad \mathcal{X}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0)$
 - Metricized and vectorized representation $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{1,\dots,N},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{1,\dots,N}) = L(vec(\boldsymbol{\theta})|vec(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{1,\dots,N}), vec(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{1,\dots,N}))$ $vec(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = vec(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{0}), mat(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_{0}), mat(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}), mat(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}), mat(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}), mat(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}))$
 - Maximize vectorized projection matrix $v = [vec(C^{(1)})^T \cdots vec(C^{(M)})^T]^T$

Statistical Analysis for the Tensor Dynamical Models

• Characteristics of dynamic systems

 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(M)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{(M)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}^{(1)}$

• Observation of linear dynamical systems

$$egin{bmatrix} egin{array}{c} egin{array}{$$

$$\boldsymbol{O}^T = [\boldsymbol{C}^T (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{A})^T (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{A^2})^T \cdots (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{A^{(m-1)}})]$$

Statistical Analysis for the Tensor Dynamical Models

- Observation of tensor dynamical systems
 - $O^{(1)^{T}} = [C^{T}(CA^{(1)})^{T}(CA^{2(1)}))^{T} \cdots (CA^{(m-1)(1)})^{T}]$ $O^{(2)^{T}} = [C^{T}(CA^{(2)})^{T}(CA^{2(2)}))^{T} \cdots (CA^{(m-1)(2)})^{T}]$

 $O^{(n)^{T}} = [C^{T}(CA^{(n)})^{T}(CA^{2(n)}))^{T} \cdots (CA^{(m-1)(n)})^{T}]$ - Collection of N latent tensor dynamical

- models
- \rightarrow N Points on Grassmann manifold
- →Geodesic distance measurement using Riemannian structure[2]



Statistical Analysis for the Tensor Dynamical Models

- How to use the geodesic distance of Riemainnian manifold to Tensor dynamic model
 - Summation of Geodesic distance of linear dynamic model for each mode of tensor dynamic model→ order invariant distance
 - Pairwise distance of Geodesic distance of linear dynamic model for each mode of tensor dynamic model -> order preserving distance



Experimental Results

- Dataset: INRIA human action database
 - 10 actors with 11 actions
 - Leave one-subject out evaluation
 - view invariant feature representation → order invariant distance

Method	Motion	Grassmann+Li	Proposed
	history+Mahl. [a]	near DS[b]	Tensor DS
Recognition rate	93.3	93.9	95.46

[a] D. Weinland, R. Ronfard, and E. Boyer. Free viewpoint action recognition using motion history volumes. CVIU, 104(2):249–257, 2006
[b] P. K. Turaga, A. Veeraraghavan, A. Srivastava, and R. Chellappa. Statistical computations on grassmann and stiefel manifolds for image and video-based recognition. IEEE Trans. PAMI, 33(11):2273–2286, 2011



Conclusion and future work

- Tensor dynamical system is useful for human action recognition
- Statistical analysis on the Grassmann manifold using geodesic distance enhances the classification performance of latent tensor dynamical systems

Thank you